



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,962	11/14/2001	David J. Jilk	WIDE-003	4454
25235	7590	08/10/2006	EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500 1200 SEVENTEENTH ST DENVER, CO 80202			DESHPANDE, KALYAN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/002,962	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JILK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kalyan K. Deshpande	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Introduction***

1. The following is a final office action in response to the communications received on May 31, 2006. Claims 1-70 are now pending in this application.

### ***Response to Amendments***

2. Applicants' amendments to claims 1 and 5 are acknowledged. Examiner withdraws the objections to the specification and the double patenting and 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections. Examiner asserts new 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections as necessary due to the amendment of claims 1 and 5, while maintaining previously submitted 35 U.S.C. §103 rejections for all other claims.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicants' argument filed on May 31, 2006 regarding claim 1 that Bunting and Farenden fail to teach "predicting future demand for the remote workers based on the stored tasks" has been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as necessitated by amendment. Applicants' argument regarding claims 5 that Bunting and Farenden fail to teach "evaluating skills of the responding potential worker to perform the types of task steps associated with the stored tasks associated with the recruiting messages" has been fully considered but are not found persuasive. Applicants' argument regarding claims 6 and 13 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicants' arguments regarding Bunting and Farenden failing to teach "predicting future demand for the remote workers based on the stored tasks" with

Art Unit: 3623

respect to claim 1 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as necessitated by amendment.

In response to Applicants' argument Bunting and Farenden fail to teach "evaluating skills of the responding potential worker to perform the types of task steps associated with the stored tasks associated with the recruiting messages" as per claim 5, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Farenden does teach "evaluating skills of the responding potential worker to perform the types of task steps associated with the stored tasks associated with the recruiting messages". Specifically, Farenden evaluates skills based on the job function the potential recruit is being evaluated for (see ¶ 143; where the recruiters evaluate a candidate based on the criteria defined in the employment requisition). Furthermore, Farenden teaches a personal evaluation based on an interview with the candidate (see ¶¶ 170-174). Applicants correctly assert this evaluation is done to determine candidate's leadership skills. Leadership skills are skills that a specific employment requisition can request for.

In response to Applicants' argument Bunting and Farenden fail to teach "the recruiting is based on task load information on the tasks in the task data structure, on the available workers, and on the available worker task skills" as per claims 6 and 13, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Farenden does teach "the recruiting is based on task load information on the tasks in the task data structure, on the available workers, and on the available worker task skills" (see ¶ 143; where the task load information is the criteria set forth by the employers or recruiters. These are matched against the

qualification of the available workers and the available workers skill set. Recruiters can submit invitations to those passed this initial screening for further evaluation.).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bunting (U.S. Patent No. 6134530) in view of Farenden (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0128892) and in further view of Kintner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6732079).

As per claim 1, Bunting teaches:

A method of automatically managing a plurality of remote workers carrying out a variety of jobs for one or more customers, each job including a process of a set of one or more task steps and a set of unites of source data, the method comprising:

Storing in a database information on each remote worker including one or more task skills of the worker that define the types of task steps the work is certified to carry out (column 4 lines 24-33; where a sales and resource database is used to store information on resources.);

Storing in the database information on the customers (column 4 lines 24-33; where a customer profile database is used to store information on customers.);

Storing in the database information on each process, including the customer of the process, the order of carrying out the task steps of the process, how the input for

Art Unit: 3623

each task step is obtained from the results of a prior task steps in the process, and any pre-processing and post-processing required (see column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where the process of call handling is routed to a matching primary resource, based on customer type.);

Receiving the units of source data from the customers (see column 4 lines 24-33; where a receiver for receiving customer data is used.);

Carrying out any defined pre-processing for the received source data (see column 4 lines 24-33; where a processor determines the customer type.);

Storing in a task data structure information on tasks to be completed, each task defined by a task step and a unit of input for the task step (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred.);

Receiving requests from one or more of the remote workers for tasks (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where employees submit requests for tasks to be completed.);

Upon receiving a task request from a remote worker, dispatching a task from the stored tasks to be completed to the remote worker according to one or more task dispatch rules (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

Art Unit: 3623

Receiving the task results from the remote workers for the task dispatched to the workers (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.);

Carrying out any defined post-processing of the task results corresponding to the tasks of a process for a unit of source data to produce result data for the unit of source data (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded. Post processing of this data is done by user specified rules.);

Sending the result data to the customers (see column 8 lines 16-19 and column 9 lines 20-45; where the results of are communicated to the customer.);

Bunting fails to teach "receiving responses from one or more of the potential workers; and screening the responding potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant such that the recruiting is based on information about the stored tasks and occurs automatically substantially without human management". Farenden, in an analogous art, teaches "receiving responses from one or more of the potential workers" (see ¶¶ 116-142, 178, 181-189; where potential workers responses are collected, including responses as to personal information, skills information, job preference, hiring process questionnaire, and acceptance or decline of a position of employment.); and "screening the responding potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant" (see ¶¶ 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.). An advantage of

Art Unit: 3623

pooling and screening applicants as potential hires and substantially automating this process is that with a large pool of potential workers is available and screening of the pool of potential workers for certain skills will substantially increase the chances of finding the best person for the available job. The advantage of automating the recruiting process is that it reduces error and overhead costs by reducing the amount of labor required for recruiting. Furthermore, the Courts have held that the automation of a manual process is within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Venner*, 120 USPQ 192, 194; 262 F2d 91 (CCPA 1958). It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Farenden system into the Bunting system interacting with the context manager in order to find the best potential workers for the jobs and reduce error and overhead by substantially automating the process.

Bunting also fails to explicitly teach “managing the capacity of the system based on information about the stored tasks, wherein the capacity managing comprises predicting future demand for the remote workers based on the stored tasks” and “recruiting potential workers when the predicted future demand is determined to not be met by the remote workers based on a comparison of the predicted future demand and the stored remote worker information in the database”. Bunting does teach “managing the capacity of the system based on information about the stored tasks” (see column 7 lines 53-63; where overflow rules are defined for better management.). Kintner, in an analogous art, teaches “the capacity manging comprises predicting future demand for the remote workers based on the stored tasks” (see Kintner column 2 lines 40-55 and



Art Unit: 3623

column 3 lines 55-67; where the system inputs a demand forecast for employees and employees are hired or terminated based on the optimal forecasting plan.) and “recruiting potential workers when the predicted future demand is determined to not be met by the remote workers based on a comparison of the predicted future demand and the stored remote worker information in the database” (see Kintner column 2 lines 40-55 and column 3 lines 55-67; where the system inputs a demand forecast for employees and employees are hired or terminated based on the optimal forecasting plan.). The advantage of recruiting and hiring workers based on forecasted demand for workers is that it minimizes the hiring costs associated with the task. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the feature of “the capacity managing comprises predicting future demand for the remote workers based on the stored tasks” and “recruiting potential workers when the predicted future demand is determined to not be met by the remote workers based on a comparison of the predicted future demand and the stored remote worker information in the database” taught by Kintner with the Bunting system in order to minimize hiring costs associated with the tasks, which is a goal of Kintner (see Kintner column 2 lines 10-21).

As per claim 2, Bunting teaches “the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance” (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.). Bunting fails to teach “voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo

Art Unit: 3623

retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work”; however, these differences are only found in the non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited nor do they alter the recited structural elements. The recited method steps would be performed the same regardless of the specific data. Further, the structural elements remain the same regardless of the specific data. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, *see In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994); MPEP § 2106.

As per claim 3, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

Certifying applicants and workers as having one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks); and

Automatically training workers at one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks).

As per claim 4, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

Assessing the quality of at least some of the task results (see column 9 lines 59-67 and column 10 lines 1-17; where a quality assurance center monitors tasks to assess their quality.).

As per claim 5, Bunting fails to teach “wherein the recruiting includes: placing one or more recruiting messages based on information about the stored tasks; receiving a response from potential workers; and administering a screening test to the responding

Art Unit: 3623

potential workers". Farenden teaches "placing one or more recruiting messages based on information about the stored tasks" (see ¶¶ 117-128; where information regarding job descriptions are entered on to the website and potential workers can search the messages for tasks they are interested in.); "receiving a response from a potential worker" (see ¶¶ 116-142, 178, 181-189; where potential workers responses are collected, including responses as to personal information, skills information, job preference, hiring process questionnaire, and acceptance or decline of a position of employment.); and "administering a screening test to the responding potential worker, wherein the screening comprises evaluating skills of the responding potential worker to perform the types of task steps associated with the stored tasks associated with the recruiting messages" (see ¶¶ 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.). The advantages placing one or more recruiting messages regarding the job description is to generate interest in the job and increase the number of potential workers interested in the job, thereby increasing the chances of hiring the most qualified person for the job. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate placing messages regarding job descriptions to the Bunting system (as is discussed in the rejection of claim 1) in order to ultimately hire the most appropriate person for the job, which is a goal of Farenden (see ¶ 9).

The limitations "receiving a response from a potential worker" and "administering a screening test to the responding potential worker" are addressed in the rejection of claim 1; therefore the same rejection applies here.

Art Unit: 3623

6. Claims 6-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bunting (U.S. Patent No. 6134530) in view of Farenden (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0128892).

As per claim 6, Bunting teaches:

A system for automatically managing a plurality of remote workers carrying out a variety of jobs for one or more customers, each job including a process of a set of one or more task steps and a set of associated source data units, the system connected to a network, each worker having one or more task skills and able to communicate with the system using a worker terminal connectable to network, the system comprising:

A storage subsystem containing a task data structure to store tasks to be completed, each task defined by a task step and a unite of input for the task step (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred.);

A pre-processor coupled to the storage subsystem to accept units of source data from the customers and carry out any defined pre-processing for the accepted source data (see column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where the process of call handling is routed to a matching primary resource, based on customer type.);

A task dispatcher coupled to the network and to the task data structure to accept requests from one or more of the remote workers for tasks and to dispatch a task from the task data structure to a remote worker requesting tasks, the dispatching

Art Unit: 3623

according to one or more task dispatch rules (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

A task submission unit coupled to network to receive the task results from the remote workers for the task dispatched to the workers (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

A post-processor coupled to the network to receive the task results from the remote workers for the task dispatched to the workers (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded. Post processing of this data is done by user specified rules.);

A post-processor coupled to the network and to the quality unit to produce result data from the task results corresponding to the tasks of a process for a unit of source data, including any defined post-processing of the task results, and to send the result data to the customer of the process (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 16-19, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 20-45, column 9 lines 59-67, column 10 lines 1-17, and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded. Post processing of this data is done by

Art Unit: 3623

user specified rules. A quality assurance center monitors tasks to assess their quality. The results are communicated to the customer.);

A capacity manager coupled to the task dispatcher, to the task data structure, and to the evaluation unit to manage the capacity of the system based on task load information on the tasks in the task data structure, on the available workers, and on the available worker task skills (see column 7 lines 53-63; where overflow rules are defined for better management.);

A certification unit coupled to the dispatcher to certify workers as having one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks).

Bunting fails to teach "a recruitment and screening unit coupled to the capacity manager to the network to recruit potential workers, and to screen potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant"; and "such that the recruiting is based on task load information on the tasks in the task data structure, on the available workers, and on the available worker task skills, and occurs automatically substantially without human management". Farenden teaches "a recruitment and screening unit coupled to the capacity manager to the network to recruit potential workers, and to screen potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant" (see ¶¶ 10-11 and 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.); "such that the recruiting is based on task load information on the tasks in the task data structure, on the available workers, and on the available worker task skills, and occurs automatically substantially without human

management” (see ¶ 143; where the task load information is the criteria set forth by the employers or recruiters. These are matched against the qualification of the available workers and the available workers skill set. Recruiters can submit invitations to those passed this initial screening for further evaluation.). These limitations are addressed in the rejection of claim 1; therefore the same rejection applies here.

As per claim 7, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 6, wherein the storage subsystem further includes

A database storing information on each remote worker including one or more task skills of the worker that defined the types of task steps the worker is certified to carry out, information on one or more customers, and information on each process, the process information including the customer of the process, the order of carrying out the task steps of the process, how the input for each task step is obtained from the results of prior task steps in the process, and any preprocessing and post-processing required (see column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where a database stores information on works, customers, and processes. The process information contains steps to be performed in the processing and information from previous processing steps is supplied. Requirements to perform the processing is also available.); and

A data store for storing input and output information for the tasks, and

Wherein coupling between the certification unit and each of the task dispatcher and capacity manager is via the database (column 8 lines 25-55; where the context manager coordinates information between all of the modules and systems. The

Art Unit: 3623

context manager is present for determining specially skilled workers, the dispatching of tasks, and balancing the capacity of the system.).

As per claim 8, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 6, wherein the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.).

Bunting fails to teach:

voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work.

Claim 8 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 2; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 9, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 6, further comprising:

a training unit coupled to the network, to the capacity manager, and to the certification unit to automatically train applicants and workers at one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks).

As per claim 10, Bunting teaches:



A system for automatically managing a plurality of remote workers carrying out a variety of jobs for one or more customers, each job including a process of a set of one or more task steps and a set of associated source data units, the system connected to a network, each worker able to communicate with the system using a worker terminal connectable to network, the system comprising:

- a storage subsystem containing:

- a database storing information on each remote worker including one or more task skills of the worker that define the types of task steps the worker is certified to carry out, information on one or more customers, and information on each process, the process information including the customer of the process, the order of carrying out the task steps of the process, how the input for each task step is obtained from the results of prior task steps in the process, and any pre-processing and post processing required (see column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where a database stores information on works, customers, and processes. The process information contains steps to be performed in the processing and information from previous processing steps is supplied. Requirements to perform the processing are also available.);

- a task data structure to store tasks to be completed, each task defined by a task step and a unit of input for the task step (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred.); and

Art Unit: 3623

a data store for storing input and output information for the tasks (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred and submit output information for tasks submitted.);

a mechanism coupled to the storage subsystem to accept units of source data from the customers (see column 4 lines 24-33; where a receiver for receiving customer data is used.);

a pre-processor coupled to the storage subsystem to carry out any defined pre-processing for the accepted source data (see column 4 lines 24-33; where a processor determines the customer type.);

a mechanism coupled to the network to accept requests from one or more of the remote workers for tasks (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where employees submit requests for tasks to be completed.);

a task dispatcher coupled to the storage subsystem and to the network for dispatching a task from the task data structure to a remote worker requesting tasks, the dispatching according to one or more task dispatch rules (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

a task submission unit coupled to the storage subsystem to receive the task results from the remote workers for the task dispatched to the workers (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.);

a post-processor coupled to the storage subsystem to carry out any defined post-processing of the task results corresponding to the tasks of a process for a unit of source data to produce result data for the unit of source data (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded. Post processing of this data is done by user specified rules.);

a mechanism coupled to the storage subsystem to send the result data to the customers (see column 8 lines 16-19 and column 9 lines 20-45; where the results of are communicated to the customer.);

a capacity manager coupled to the storage subsystem to manage the capacity of the system based on task load information on the tasks in the task data structure, on the available workers, and on the available worker task skills (see column 8 lines 16-19 and column 9 lines 20-45; where the results of are communicated to the customer.).

Bunting fails to teach:

a recruitment/screening unit coupled to the storage subsystem to recruit potential workers, and to screen potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant.

Art Unit: 3623

Farenden teaches:

a recruitment/screening unit coupled to the storage subsystem to recruit potential workers, and to screen potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant (see ¶¶ 10-11 and 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.).

These limitations are addressed in the rejection of claim 1; therefore the same rejection applies here.

As per claim 11, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 10, wherein the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.).

Bunting fails to teach:

voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work.

Claim 11 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 2; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 12, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 10, further comprising:

Art Unit: 3623

a quality unit coupled to the storage subsystem to assess the quality of at least some of the task results (see column 9 lines 59-67 and column 10 lines 1-17; where a quality assurance center monitors tasks to assess their quality.);

an evaluation unit coupled to the storage subsystem to evaluate the workers who carried out the tasks that produced the task results whose quality is assessed (see column 9 lines 59-67 and column 10 lines 1-17; where a quality assurance center monitors tasks to assess their quality.);

a training unit coupled to the storage subsystem to train applicants and workers at one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks); and

a certification unit coupled to the storage subsystem to certify applicants and workers as having one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks).

As per claim 13, Bunting teaches:

A method of automatically managing a plurality of workers carrying out a variety of jobs for one or more customers, each job including a process of a set of one or more task steps and a set of units of source data, the method comprising:

storing in a database information on each worker including one or more task skills of the worker that define the types of task steps the worker is certified to carry out (column 4 lines 24-33; where a sales and resource database is used to store information on resources.);

storing in the database information on each process (see column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where the process of call handling is routed to a matching primary resource, based on customer type.);

receiving the units of source data (see column 4 lines 24-33; where a receiver for receiving customer data is used.);

storing in a task data structure information on tasks to be completed, each task defined by a task step and input for the task step (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred.);

storing in the database information on each remote worker including one or more task skills of the worker that define the types of task steps the worker is certified to carry out (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks);

dispatching a task from the stored tasks to be completed to a worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

receiving the task result from the worker for the task dispatched to the worker after the worker completes the task (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.).

Bunting fails to teach:

recruiting potential workers,

wherein the recruiting occurs automatically based on task load information about the stored tasks.

Farenden teaches:

recruiting potential workers (see ¶¶ 10 and 11; where the recruiting of potential workers is done.),

wherein the recruiting occurs automatically based on task load information about the stored tasks (see ¶ 143; where the task load information is the criteria set forth by the employers or recruiters. These are matched against the qualification of the available workers and the available workers skill set. Recruiters can submit invitations to those passed this initial screening for further evaluation.).

These limitations are addressed by the rejection of claim 1; therefore the same rejection applies here.

As per claim 14, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 13, further comprising:

managing the capacity based on the distribution of tasks in the task data structure, required task skills, and available workers having the required task skills (see column 7 lines 53-63; where overflow rules are defined for better management.).

As per claim 15, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 14, wherein managing the capacity further includes projecting the task demand (see column 4 lines 18-33; where the capacity manager handles projecting where the task demand overflow will go, to either primary or secondary resource types.)

Bunting fails to teach:

commencing the recruiting when a shortfall is predicted.

Farenden teaches a system and method for real time recruiting of potential workers to fill benchmark gaps in personnel (see ¶¶ 11 and 166). It is old and well-known in the art to commence an activity when a forecasted benchmark gap is determined. The advantage of commencing recruiting when a gap is predicted is that that gap can be filled as soon as possible. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate commencing recruiting when the Bunting system capacity manager determines an overflow of task demand in order to fill the personnel voids as soon as possible.

As per claim 16, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 13, wherein dispatching is to a remote worker via the Internet and wherein the remote worker completes the task at remote location (see column 8 lines 13-28; where the system is run on separate engines across the internet. Incoming calls are routed to the intranet systems which select a resource to redirect the calls to. The entire system is connected to the internet, as customers can also be contacted via the Internet.).

As per claim 17, Bunting teaches:



A method as recited in claim 16, wherein the storing of process information includes storing information on any required pre-processing of source data and on any required post-processing, and wherein the source data receiving includes carrying out any pre- processing required for the source data according to the stored process information, and wherein the producing result data further includes carrying out any post-processing required according to the stored process information (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded. Post processing of this data is done by user specified rules.).

As per claim 18, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 13, wherein the dispatching occurs upon receiving a task request from the worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 19, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 13, wherein the task request is received from the worker automatically when the worker logs on (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 20, Bunting teaches:

Art Unit: 3623

A method as recited in claim 13, wherein the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.).

Bunting fails to teach:

voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work.

Claim 20 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 2; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 21, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 13, further comprising:

certifying workers as having one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks).

As per claim 22, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 21, wherein the dispatching occurs according to a set of one or more dispatch rules (see column 8 lines 9-28; where predefined routing rules govern how call routing/dispatching occurs.).

As per claim 23, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 22, wherein the dispatch rules includes that the worker a task is assigned to must have the task skill for the task step (see column 8

lines 9-28; where predefined routing rules govern how call routing/dispatching occurs. The routing selects resources with specialized training and skills to match the tasks assigned.).

As per claim 24, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 22, wherein the dispatching further occurs to satisfy one or more task dispatch objectives (see column 8 lines 9-28; where predefined routing rules govern how call routing/dispatching occurs. The routing selects resources with specialized training and skills to match the tasks assigned. Task assignment is balanced to match incoming requests. Calls are put in queues to maintain balancing, which is a dispatch objective.).

As per claim 25, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 22, wherein the task data structure is part of the database and wherein the dispatching includes forming a query on the database (see column 2 lines 11-22 and column 7 lines 24-52; where the CTI interface retrieves data from a database. The retrieval process requires the interface submitting queries to the database.).

As per claim 26, Bunting fails to explicitly teach the use of a relational database including a set of tables. It is old and well-known in the art to use relational databases for applications that require extensive data transactions. It is also old and well-known in the art for a relational database to consist of tables. The advantages of using a relational database are that relational database are easy to create, access, and to extend without disrupting existing applications. The advantage tables (relations) in a

Art Unit: 3623

relational database is the clear organization of data. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a relational database in the Bunting system in order to have a database that is easy to create, access, and extend. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a relational database consisting of tables in order to organize data better.

As per claim 27, Bunting fails to teach:

screening the responding potential workers by administering a screening test.

Farenden teaches:

screening the responding potential workers by administering a screening test

(see ¶¶ 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.).

This limitation is addressed by the rejection of claim 1; therefore the same rejection applies here.

As per claim 28, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 27, further comprising:

automatically training workers at one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks).

As per claim 29, Bunting fails to teach:

placing one or more recruiting messages based on information about the stored tasks;

receiving a response from a potential worker; and

administering a screening test to the responding potential worker.

Farenden teaches:

placing one or more recruiting messages based on information about the stored tasks (see ¶¶ 117-128; where information regarding job descriptions are entered on to the website and potential workers can search the messages for tasks they are interested in.);

receiving a response from a potential worker (see ¶¶ 116-142, 178, 181-189; where potential workers responses are collected, including responses as to personal information, skills information, job preference, hiring process questionnaire, and acceptance or decline of a position of employment.); and

administering a screening test to the responding potential worker (see ¶¶ 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.).

Claim 29 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claims 1 and 5; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 30, Bunting fails to teach:

Deciding to hire a particular applicant;

Contacting the particular applicant;

Receiving from the particular applicant an expression of interest and resume information; and

Checking resume information.

Farenden teaches:

deciding to hire a particular applicant (see ¶¶ 176-189; where a decision to make an offer to an applicant is made.);

contacting the particular applicant (see ¶¶ 176-189; where the particular applicant is contacted.);

receiving from the particular applicant an expression of interest and resume information (see ¶¶ 176-189; where the applicant's response to the offer is collected.); and

checking resume information (see ¶¶ 116-142; where the potential worker enters in their personal information and skill information. The personal and skill information is the same information that is generally found on a resume. Staffing specialist screen through this information to determine which potential workers will become applicants.).

The advantage of performing the steps of checking an applicant's resume, deciding to hire the applicant, contacting the applicant, and receiving applicant's decision on the offer is that the due diligence of hiring an applicant is completed. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate these steps to the recruiting process in order to satisfy due diligence of hiring an applicant.

As per claim 31, Bunting fails to teach:

A method as recited in claim 30, wherein the checking of resume information includes creating a task in the task data structure for dispatching to a worker.

Farenden teaches screening the applicants for specific skills (see ¶¶ 143-160). The advantage of screening the applicants for a specific skill is that the voids in the required personnel necessary to continue workflow can be satisfied. It would have been obvious, at the time of the invention, for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate recruiting for a specific skill to the Bunting system in order to fill the voids in personnel that are necessary to continue workflow.

As per claim 32, Bunting teaches:

A system for automatically managing a plurality of workers carrying out a variety of jobs for one or more customers, each job including a process of a set of one or more task steps and a set of associated source data units, the system connected to a network, each worker having one or more task skills and able to communicate with the system using a worker terminal connectable to network, the system comprising:

a storage subsystem containing a task data structure to store tasks to be completed, each task defined by a task step and input for the task step from source data received from the customer (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred.);

a task dispatcher coupled to the network and to the task data structure to dispatch a task from the task data structure to an available worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests

Art Unit: 3623

are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

a task submission unit coupled to network to receive the task result from the worker for the task dispatched to the worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.); a

a capacity manager coupled to the task dispatcher and to the task data structure to manage the capacity of the system based on task load information on the tasks in the task data structure (see column 7 lines 53-63; where overflow rules are defined for better management.).

Bunting fails to teach:

a recruitment unit coupled to the capacity manager and to the network to recruit potential workers;

such that the recruitment unit recruits workers automatically substantially without human upon instruction from the capacity manager.

Farenden teaches:

a recruitment unit coupled to the capacity manager and to the network to recruit potential workers (see ¶¶ 10 and 11; where the recruiting of potential workers is done.);

such that the recruitment unit recruits workers automatically substantially without human upon instruction from the capacity manager (¶¶ 122, 126, 164, 165, 166,



Art Unit: 3623

177, 184, and 187; where substantial steps of the recruiting process are automated by the recruiting system.).

Claim 32 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claims 1 and 15; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 33, Bunting fails to teach:

wherein the recruitment unit further is to screen potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant.

Farenden teaches:

wherein the recruitment unit further is to screen potential workers, each successfully screened potential worker becoming an applicant (see ¶¶ 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.).

Claim 33 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 1; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 34, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 33, further comprising:

a training unit coupled to the network and to the capacity manager to automatically train workers at one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks); and

a certification unit coupled to the capacity manager and the training unit to automatically certify workers as having one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks).

As per claim 35, Bunting teaches:

Art Unit: 3623

A system as recited in claim 32, further comprising:

a certification unit coupled to the capacity manager and the training unit to automatically certify workers as having one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks)

wherein the storage subsystem further includes

a database storing information on each remote worker including one or more task skills of the worker that define the types of task steps the worker is certified to carry out, information on one or more customers, and information on each process, the process information including the customer of the process, the order of carrying out the task steps of the process, how the input for each task step is obtained from the results of prior task steps in the process, and any pre- processing and post-processing required (see column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where a database stores information on works, customers, and processes. The process information contains steps to be performed in the processing and information from previous processing steps is supplied. Requirements to perform the processing is also available.); and

a data store for storing input and output information for the tasks, and wherein the coupling between the certification unit and each of the task dispatcher and the capacity manager is via the database (column 8 lines 25-55; where the context manager coordinates information between all of the modules and systems. The context manager is present for determining specially skilled workers, the dispatching of tasks, and balancing the capacity of the system.).

As per claim 36, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 35, further comprising:

a post-processor coupled to the network and to the quality unit to produce result data from the task results of the tasks a process and to send the result data to the customer of the process (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 16-19, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 20-45, column 9 lines 59-67, column 10 lines 1-17, and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded. Post processing of this data is done by user specified rules. A quality assurance center monitors tasks to assess their quality. The results are communicated to the customer.).

As per claim 37, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 35, wherein dispatching is to a remote worker via the Internet and wherein the remote worker completes the task at remote location (see column 8 lines 13-28; where the system is run on separate engines across the internet. Incoming calls are routed to the intranet systems which select a resource to redirect the calls to. The entire system is connected to the internet, as customers can also be contacted via the Internet.).

As per claim 38, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 32, wherein the dispatching occurs upon receiving a task request from the worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is

Art Unit: 3623

assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 39, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 32, wherein the task request is received from the worker automatically when the worker logs on (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 40, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 32, wherein the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.).

Bunting fails to teach:

voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work.

Claim 40 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 2; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 41, Bunting teaches:

Art Unit: 3623

A system as recited in claim 35, wherein the task data structure is part of the database (see column 2 lines 11-22 and column 7 lines 24-52; where the CTI interface retrieves data from a database. The retrieval process requires the interface submitting queries to the database.).

As per claim 42, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 35, wherein the data store is part of the database (see column 2 lines 11-22 and column 7 lines 24-52; where data is stored in a database.).

As per claim 43, Bunting fails to explicitly teach the use of a relational database including a set of tables. This claim recites limitations address by the rejection of claim 26; therefore the same rejection applies here.

As per claim 44, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 35, wherein the dispatching occurs according to a set of one or more dispatch rules (see column 8 lines 9-28; where predefined routing rules govern how call routing/dispatching occurs.).

As per claim 45, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 44, wherein the dispatch rules includes that the worker a task is assigned to must have the task skills for the task step (see column 8 lines 9-28; where predefined routing rules govern how call routing/dispatching occurs. The routing selects resources with specialized training and skills to match the tasks assigned.).

As per claim 46, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 44, wherein the dispatching further occurs to satisfy one or more task dispatch objectives (see column 8 lines 9-28; where predefined routing rules govern how call routing/dispatching occurs. The routing selects resources with specialized training and skills to match the tasks assigned. Task assignment is balanced to match incoming requests. Calls are put in queues to maintain balancing, which is a dispatch objective.).

As per claim 47, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 44, wherein the task data structure is part of the database and wherein the dispatching includes forming a query on the database (see column 2 lines 11-22 and column 7 lines 24-52; where the CTI interface retrieves data from a database. The retrieval process requires the interface submitting queries to the database.).

As per claim 48, Bunting fails to explicitly teach the use of a relational database including a set of tables. This claim recites limitations address by the rejection of claim 26; therefore the same rejection applies here.

As per claim 49, Bunting fails to teach:

wherein the recruitment unit further screens the potential workers by administering a screening test.

Farenden teaches:

wherein the recruitment unit further screens the potential workers by administering a screening test (see ¶¶ 143-160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.).

Claim 49 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 1;  
therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 50, Bunting fails to teach:

placing one or more recruiting messages based on information about the stored  
tasks;

receiving a response from a potential worker; and

administering a screening test to the responding potential worker.

Farenden teaches:

placing one or more recruiting messages based on information about the stored  
tasks (see ¶¶ 117-128; where information regarding job descriptions are entered on  
to the website and potential workers can search the messages for tasks they are  
interested in.);

receiving a response from a potential worker (see ¶¶ 116-142, 178, 181-189;  
where potential workers responses are collected, including responses as to personal  
information, skills information, job preference, hiring process questionnaire, and  
acceptance or decline of a position of employment.); and

administering a screening test to the responding potential worker (see ¶¶ 143-  
160; where potential workers are screened to match skills of a required job.).

Claim 50 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claims 1 and 5;  
therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 51, Bunting fails to teach:

Deciding to hire a particular applicant;

Art Unit: 3623

Contacting the particular applicant;

Receiving from the particular applicant an expression of interest and resume; and

Checking resume information.

Farenden teaches:

deciding to hire a particular applicant (see ¶¶ 176-189; where a decision to make an offer to an applicant is made.);

contacting the particular applicant (see ¶¶ 176-189; where the particular applicant is contacted.);

receiving from the particular applicant an expression of interest and resume information (see ¶¶ 176-189; where the applicant's response to the offer is collected.); and

checking resume information (see ¶¶ 116-142; where the potential worker enters in their personal information and skill information. The personal and skill information is the same information that is generally found on a resume. Staffing specialist screen through this information to determine which potential workers will become applicants.).

Claim 51 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 30; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 52, Bunting fails to teach:

A system as recited in claim 51, wherein the checking of resume information includes creating a task in the task data structure for dispatching to a worker.

Farenden teaches screening the applicants for specific skills (see ¶¶ 143-160).



Art Unit: 3623

Claim 52 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 31; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 53, Bunting teaches:

A system for automatically managing a plurality of workers carrying out a variety of jobs for one or more customers, each job including a process of a set of one or more task

steps and a set of units of source data, the method comprising:

a storage means containing:

a database for storing information on each process and information on each worker including one or more task skills of the worker that define the types of task steps the worker is certified to carry out (column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where a sales and resource database is used to store information on resources. The process of call handling is routed to a matching primary resource, based on customer type.), and

a task data structure for storing information on tasks to be completed, each task defined by a task step and input for the task step (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred.);

means for receiving the units of source data (see column 4 lines 24-33; where a receiver for receiving customer data is used.);

Art Unit: 3623

means for dispatching a task from the stored tasks to be completed to a worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

means for receiving the task result from the worker for the task dispatched to the worker after the worker completes the task (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.);

means for managing the capacity of the system based on task load information about the stored tasks (see column 7 lines 53-63; where overflow rules are defined for better management.).

Bunting fails to teach:

means for recruiting potential workers based on the task load information.

Farenden teaches a system and method for real time recruiting of potential workers to fill benchmark gaps in personnel (see ¶¶ 11 and 166).

Claim 53 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 15; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 54, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 53, wherein the system is coupled to the Internet and wherein the dispatching means dispatches to a remote worker via the Internet and wherein the remote worker completes the task at remote location (see column 8 lines 13-28; where the system is run on separate engines across the internet.

Art Unit: 3623

Incoming calls are routed to the intranet systems which select a resource to redirect the calls to. The entire system is connected to the internet, as customers can also be contacted via the Internet.).

As per claim 55, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 53, wherein the dispatching means dispatches upon receiving a task request from the worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 56, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 53, wherein the task request is received from the worker automatically when the worker logs on to the system (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 57, Bunting teaches:

A system as recited in claim 53, wherein the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.).

Bunting fails to teach:

Art Unit: 3623

voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work.

Claim 57 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 2; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 58, Bunting teaches:

A carrier medium carrying computer readable code segments to instruct one or more processors of a processing system to carry out a method of automatically managing a plurality of workers carrying out a variety of jobs for one or more customers, each job including a process of a set of one or more task steps and a set of units of source data, the medium comprising:

one or more code segments to instruct the one or more processors to store in a database information on each remote worker and on each process, the worker information including one or more task skills of the worker that define the types of task steps the worker is certified to carry out (column 4 lines 24-33 and figure 5; where a sales and resource database is used to store information on resources. The process of call handling is routed to a matching primary resource, based on customer type.);

one or more code segments to instruct the one or more processors to store in a task data structure information on tasks to be completed, each task defined by a task step and input for the task step corresponding to source data from the customer of the process of the task step (column 6 line 8-9, column 8 lines 48-55, and column

Art Unit: 3623

12 lines 18-55; where tasks are determined for customers. The tasks are distributed to qualified employees and the employees input requests for tasks they need transferred.);

one or more code segments to instruct the one or more processors to dispatch a task from the stored tasks to be completed to a worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.);

one or more code segments to instruct the one or more processors to accept task result from the worker for the task dispatched to the worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.);

one or more code segments to instruct the one or more processors to manage capacity the capacity of the system based on task load information on the stored tasks (see column 7 lines 53-63; where overflow rules are defined for better management.).

Bunting fails to teach:

one or more code segments to instruct the one or more processors to recruit potential workers based on the task load information.

Farenden teaches a system and method for real time recruiting of potential workers to fill benchmark gaps in personnel (see ¶¶ 11 and 166).

Claim 59 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 15; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 59, Bunting teaches:

A carrier medium as recited in claim 58, wherein dispatching is to a remote worker via the Internet and wherein the remote worker completes the task at remote location (see column 8 lines 13-28; where the system is run on separate engines across the internet. Incoming calls are routed to the intranet systems which select a resource to redirect the calls to. The entire system is connected to the internet, as customers can also be contacted via the Internet.).

As per claim 60, Bunting teaches:

A carrier medium as recited in claim 58, wherein the dispatching occurs upon receiving a task request from the worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 61, Bunting teaches:

A carrier medium as recited in claim 58, wherein the task request is received from the worker automatically when the worker logs on (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 62, Bunting teaches:

Art Unit: 3623

A carrier medium as recited in claim 58, wherein the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.).

Bunting fails to teach:

voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work.

Claim 62 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 2; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 63, Bunting teaches:

A carrier medium as recited in claim 58, wherein managing the capacity further includes projecting the task demand based on the distribution of tasks in the task data structure, required task skills, and available workers having the required task skills (see column 4 lines 18-33; where the capacity manager handles projecting where the task demand overflow will go, to either primary or secondary resource types.).

Bunting fails to teach:

commencing the recruiting when a shortfall is predicted.

Farenden teaches a system and method for real time recruiting of potential workers to fill benchmark gaps in personnel (see ¶¶ 11 and 166).

Art Unit: 3623

Claim 63 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claims 1 and 15; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 64, Bunting teaches:

A computer implemented method of automatically managing one or more human workers carrying out variety of processes, each process to manipulating source data to produce result data, the process including a set of one or more task steps, each task step having an input corresponding to the source data and when completed on the input resulting in a corresponding task result, the method comprising for each process:

receiving units of source data from a customer (see column 4 lines 24-33; where a receiver for receiving customer data is used.); and

for each unit of source data for each task step of the set for the unit of source data;

dispatching the task step and its corresponding input unit to a worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.); and

receiving from the worker, after the worker carries out the dispatched task step on the input unit, the task result corresponding to the dispatched task step and input unit (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.).



Art Unit: 3623

wherein each worker is certified to have one or more task skills (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks),

wherein each task step requires a corresponding task skill (see column 11 lines 4-25; where specially trained workers are routed specific tasks), and

wherein the dispatching of any task step occurs automatically substantially without human intervention to a worker who is certified to have the corresponding task skill of the task step (see column 7 lines 53-63; where the system automatically routes tasks to the employs. The load is balanced by the system to account for overflow.).

Bunting fails to teach:

recruiting potential workers;

wherein the recruiting occurs automatically based on task load information about the stored tasks,

Farenden teaches:

recruiting potential workers (see ¶¶ 10 and 11; where the recruiting of potential workers is done.);

wherein the recruiting occurs automatically based on task load information about the stored tasks (¶¶ 122, 126, 164, 165, 166, 177, 184, and 187; where substantial steps of the recruiting process are automated by the recruiting system.).

Claim 64 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 1; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 65, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 64, wherein the variety of jobs include a plurality of members of the set consisting of: data entry, telesales, call center quality assurance (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-67, column 9 lines 59-67, and column 12 lines 18-55; where jobs consist of data entry, telesales, and call center quality assurance.).

Bunting fails to teach:

voice transcription, translation, image categorization, sales lead incubation, auditing, repair of documents after OCR, photo retouching, paralegal processes, and editorial work.

Claim 65 recites limitations already addressed by the rejection of claim 2; therefore the same rejection applies to this claim.

As per claim 66, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 64, wherein the task step dispatching is from a server computer system over a network to a remote worker, and wherein the worker carries out the task step at a location remote from the server computer system (see column 8 lines 13-28; where the system is run on separate engines across the internet. Incoming calls are routed to the intranet systems which select a resource to redirect the calls to. The entire system is connected to the internet, as customers can also be contacted via the Internet.).

As per claim 67, Bunting teaches:

Art Unit: 3623

A method as recited in claim 66, wherein the dispatching occurs upon receiving a task request from the worker (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where employees submit requests for tasks to be completed.).

As per claim 68, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 66, wherein the task request is received from the worker automatically when the worker logs on (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, and column 12 lines 18-55; where task requests are transferred to a queue until it is assigned to another employee, where the employee it is transferred to is determined by rules.).

As per claim 69, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 66, wherein the source data and the result data is provided in electronic form (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 16-19, and column 9 lines 20-45; where all data is in a format capable of being sent to the database. This format requires the data to be in electronic form.).

As per claim 70, Bunting teaches:

A method as recited in claim 69, further comprising, for each unit of source data, generating the result data for the unit of source data from one or more of the task results corresponding to the task steps of the set (see column 4 lines 24-33, column 8 lines 48-55, column 9 lines 28-45; and column 12 lines 18-55; where the results from tasks performed for the customer are recorded.); and

sending the result data for the unit of source data to the customer (see column 8 lines 16-19 and column 9 lines 20-45; where the results of are communicated to the customer.).

### ***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following are pertinent to the current invention, though not relied upon:

Haq et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6275812) teach a system or method for human resource skill management, training, career development, and deployment, linking specialties, job functions, skill sets, and experience/training profiles. The method uses skill templates, an innovation that allows for systematic evaluation of employee skills. A weighting system is used to establish the relative significance of various skills. Skills are sub-classified as per technology. Assessment of employee suitability for a project is based on quantitative evaluation and not on a subjective consideration. Various new skills assessment metrics have been introduced.

Hadden et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 20030187723) teaches their present invention analyzes the impact of known or unknown events on the fulfillment of business goals. In light of a defined business strategy, a performance outcome related to the business goal is analyzed to determine the effect of an event on the business goal. For training evaluation, the actual impact of training given for particular skills on job and business performance is readily determined.

Art Unit: 3623

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kalyan K. Deshpande whose telephone number is (571)272-5880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
kkd

  
SUSANNA M. DIAZ  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
*AU 3623*